RUNNING INJURIES

Goals and Objectives

Course Description

"Running Injuries" is an online recorded video of a previously presented live CE webinar for physical therapists and physical therapist assistants that examines orthopedic injuries related to running. This course includes a review of current literature relating to gait kinematics, common clinical presentations, and intervention considerations for running injuries.

Course Rationale

The purpose of this course is to provide participants with current evidenced-based information on orthopedic running injuries with comparative analysis of kinematics and clinical presentation to understand intervention considerations and maximize outcomes based on current research.

Course Goals and Objectives

Upon completion of this course, participants will be able to:

- 1. List and identify the phases of the running gait cycle.
- 2. Identify the risk factors commonly associated with running injuries.
- 3. Identify common tools used to assess running mechanics and performance
- 4. Recognize and define common running injuries of the lower extremity.
- 5. Recognize the psychological considerations of running injury.
- 6. Identify running shoe features as they relate to running kinematics.
- 7. List patient reported outcome measures with greatest utility for the injured runner.

Course Provider - Innovative Educational Services

Provider Contact Information - information@cheapceus.com

Course Instructor - Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS

Financial/Non-financial Disclosure - Neither the Provider nor the instructor have any financial or non-financial conflict of interest related to the presentation of this CE program.

Target Audience - Physical Therapists, Physical Therapist Assistants

Course Educational Level – This course is applicable for introductory learners.

Course Prerequisites - None

Instructional Method - Distance Learning - Independent; Asynchronous online video-based home study

Location - Cheapceus.com

Date - Continuously available on-demand

PD Activity Completion Requirements / Criteria for Issuance of CE Credits – Completion of viewing of 1 hour recorded video, and a score of 70% correct or greater on the course post-test.

Continuing Education Credits - Three (3) contact hours

Course Fee - \$34.95

Registration Information – No pre-registration required; available on-demand at Cheapceus.com

Special Needs Requests - Email: information@cheapceus.com or phone: 954-663-4101

Cancellation by the Learner – Learners may cancel their participation at any time and receive a full refund of all paid fees.

Cancellation by the Provider – Registrants for the cancelled activity will be contacted by an IES staff member via phone call or email within 12 hours of the cancellation decision. If the decision to cancel is made less than 14 hours prior to the event, each participant will be contacted no later than 2 hours prior to the scheduled start time of the activity. Registrants will receive a full refund if an activity is cancelled by the Provider. The refund will be processed back to the Registrant within 12 hours of the cancellation.

Complaint Resolution – Please call 954-663-4101 (24 hours/day, 7 days/week) to speak with a live customer service agent. Our goal is to work with our customers to resolve all issues to the customer's satisfaction with just one phone call whenever possible.

Refund Policy - Unrestricted 100% refund upon request. The request for a refund by the learner shall be honored in full without penalty or other consideration of any kind. The request for a refund may be made by the learner at any time without limitations before, during, or after course participation.



Course Rationale

· The purpose of this course is to provide participants with current evidenced-based information on orthopedic running injuries with comparative analysis of kinematics and clinical presentation to understand rehabilitation considerations and maximize outcomes based on current research.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completion of this course, participants will be able to:

- List and identify the phases of the running gait cycle.

 Distinguish the risk factors commonly associated with running injuries.

 Identify tests and measures with clinical utility for evaluation of the injured
- runner
 4. Outline the process of conducting a running gait analysis including normal

- Uulime the process of conducting a running gait analysis including normal kinematics and common deviations
 Recognize and define common running injuries of the lower extremity.
 Identify running specific rehabilitation strategies to target common running injuries.
 Detail return to running programs for the injured runner who is progressing back to activity.
 Recognize the psychological considerations of running injury.
- Compare and contrast running shoe features as they relate to running kinematics.
 List patient reported outcome measures with greatest utility for the injured

3

Disclaimer

- Application of concepts presented in this webinar is at the discretion of the individual participant in accordance with federal, state, and professional regulations
- · No conflict of interest exists for the presenter or provider of this course


Course Schedule:

4

	Time
hases of running gait cycle	0:00-0:05
Running injury risk factors	0:06-0:20
Veight bearing tests and measures for runner evaluation	0:21-0:25
functional movement tests and measures for runner valuation	0:26-0:30
Non weight bearing tests and measures for runner valuation	0:31-0:35
lait analysis	0:36-0:50
nteractive Discussion of Clinical Applications	0:51-1:00
endinopathy endinopathy	1:01-1:15
Medial tibial stress syndrome	1:16-1:30
atellofemoral pain syndrome	1:31-1:40
Plantar fasciitis	1:41-1:50
nteractive Discussion of Clinical Applications	1:51-2:00
Running specific rehabilitation strategies	2:01-2:10
Return to running programs	2:11-2:25
sychological considerations	2:26-2:35
lunning shoes	2:36-2:45
Outcome measures for the injured runner	2:46-2:50
nteractive Discussion of Clinical Applications	2:51-3:00

5 6

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.





Running: Why Talk About It?

- · Runners get hurt...A LOT
- Impact forces ~1.5 to 5x body weight
- Up to 70% of runners sustain an overuse injury each year
- Running injury costs an estimated \$4 billion each year

9 10

Running Key Terms

Step length

Distance between successive foot strikes of different feet

Stride length


Distance from one foot contact to the next contact of the same foot

Gait cycle

Interval of time to complete one stride

8

Running Key Terms • Point in • Point in • Point in · Point in gait cycle when foot gait cycle when the gait cycle the gait when cycle when first stance leg stance leg stance leg contacts and swing $_{\rm first}$ no longer the ground leg cross elevates has contact heel above with the paths the ground ground



11 12

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.

2

ic

· Number of

per unit time

steps taken

(steps/min)

Running Gait Cycle Loading Begins with initial contact Ends with foot flat

Running Gait Cycle

- Midstance
 Begins at forefoot contact with the ground
 Transition between loading and propulsion

14


13



Running Gait Cycle

Initial swing/Float phase 1 • Begins at toe off

15 16



Terminal swing/Float phase 2
 Begins at the completion of contralateral limb toe-off




Risk Factors for Running Injury



17

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.



- · Training: overuse
- Positive adaptation to load
 Force is less than the tensile limit of structure
 Adequate recovery time between loads
- · Overuse occurs if these metrics are exceeded

Consider This Risk Factors for Running Injury Training: overuse
 Determinants of training load
 Need to consider all categories of load, not just mileage! Internal tissue load · Stress · Strain · Stiffness Physiological internal load external load

Distance

Duration

Steps

Ground reaction forces Rating of perceived exertion

19 20

Risk Factors for Running Injury

- · Training: overuse
- Compounding factors
 - · Speed · Surface
 - · Shoes · Terrain
 - Weather

 - · Sleep · Nutrition
 - · Prior injury history

Risk Factors for Running Injury Anatomical: anthropometric characteristics Research shows an increased risk of injury Limited dorsiflexion · Pes cavus · Leg length discrepancy · High Q angle

21 22

Risk Factors for Running Injury · Anatomical: anthropometric characteristics Research does NOT show an increased risk of injury Limited dorsiflexion

- · Leg length discrepancy
- High Q angle
 Partly explained by the interplay of anatomy and biomechanics

Risk Factors for Running Injury

- · Anatomical: strength
- Training program including strength exercise has been shown to reduce risk of overuse injury in athletes
- Why?
 Gradual tissue conditioning
- Increase tissue failure threshold
- Technique and psychological preparedness
 Training variation

Lauersen, J. B., Andersen, T. E., & Andersen, L. B. (2018). Strength training as superior, dose-dependent and safe prevent hydries: a systematic review, qualitative analysis and meta-analysis. British journal of sports medicine, 52(24), 1557-1553

23 24





Risk Factors for Running Injury · Anatomical: gender ANALOMICAL: gender Overall injury risk is similar between men and women (other studies have shown women have higher rates of injury) Women, higher risk of bone stress injury Men: higher risk of Achilles tendon injury

Risk Factors for Running Injury · Biomechanical Evaluated with gait analysis · More to come!

27 28




Clinical Evaluation of the Runner

- · Subjective questions
- · Have there been any recent changes in training?
- What does your typical running routine look like?
- Do you do any exercise other than running (strength, cross training, yoga, etc)?
- · How long have you been a runner?
- Have you had any prior injuries (running or otherwise)?
- · Are you currently training for a race/event?

30

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.



Clinical Evaluation of the Runner • Functional movement screens • Double and single leg squats (without shoes) • Hurdle stepping • Lunges • Trunk rotary stability • Walking gait tests

32

· Hop*

· Single leg balance*

Clinical Evaluation of the Runner

- · Non weightbearing tests
- Foot alignment/1st metatarsal mobility
- · Joint ROM (hip, knee, ankle)
- Flexibility (hip flexors, hamstrings, plantarflexors)
- * Strength (hip abduction/extension, core, hamstrings)
- · Patellar tracking

Image: original photo

33 34

Nam (No gold 2013)

Running Gait Analysis

- · Getting set up
- Qualitative video analysis using treadmill
- · Recording speed 120
- frames/sec
 Method for measuring joint
- angle (i.e. motion capture app)
- · Form fit clothing/shirt tucked
- · Self-selected mid run pace

Copyright Sens Zogelo 2023

Running Gait Analysis


Lateral view

Trunk

Forward lean
Arm swing
Pelvic ant/post tilt


Knee
Extension at initial contact, midstance, toe off

Foot/Ankle
Ankle position at initial contact
initial contact
Contact time with TM



35 36

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.




Running Gait Analysis

· Factors that may influence gait mechanics

• Age

- Pre-puberty: may demonstrate increased variability
- Older runners: may develop modifications
- Fatigue
- A runner may have different mechanics under fatigued conditions

37 38



Running Gait Analysis

- · Relationship to injury
- Abundance of studies investigating this

 Limited conclusive evidence on the prospective role of mechanics in running related injury
 - Heterogeneous population with interplay of multiple factors
 - Hip adduction, internal rotation, pelvic drop → ITB syndrome, patellofemoral pain??
 Eversion → lower limb tendinopathies??
- Overstriding → bone stress??
 Higher vertical impact forces associated with injury
- · Plantar fasciitis

40


Running Injuries


- · Common sites of injury
- Knee
- · Lower leg · Foot
- · Upper leg
- \cdot Hip/pelvis

Running Injuries

- · A runner's not a runner
 - · Most likely to sustain an injury
 - · Sprinters
 - · Ultra marathoners
 - · Injury patterns differ due to propulsion activity
 - Sprinters have more proximal injuries than distance runners

41 42









Trendinopathy • Achilles • Etiology • Excessive gastrocnemius/soleus loading • Factors increasing risk • Sand • Races 1500 m to 5 km • For insertional tendinopathy • Compressive force on tendon and calcaneus • Poortwear • Dorsiflexion (uphill running) • Anatomy (Haglunds)

45 46



44

47 48



Tendinopathy

- · Achilles
- · Management
 - · Recovery prognosis
 - · 3-12 months after beginning
 - Chronic symptoms persist 10 yrs later in ~25% of patients



50

Tendinopathy

- · Achilles
- Management
 Comparison of different exercise paradigms does not reveal a single, best approach

Heavy eccentric calf training (Alfredson eccentric protocol)	Eccentric overload training (Silbernagel protocol)
Heavy slow resistance training	Modified heavy eccentric training (low-volume version)
'Stanish protocol'	Concentric training

- Any type of muscle contraction can be used to mechanically load the tendon!
- Progressive Based on patient response

Tendinopathy

- · Patellar tendon
- Eitology
 - High, repetitive eccentric loading of the quadriceps femoris muscle

51 52

Tendinopathy

- · Patellar tendon

 - Pain at the attachment of the patellar tendon at the inferior pole of the patella
 - Often only symptomatic in high energy activities, not at rest
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Contributing factors
 - Contributing factors

 Muscle weakness: quads, gastroc-soleus, hip abductors

 Foot posture

 Decreased motor control

 Faulty running mechanics
- Importance of good differential diagnosis
 Infrapatellar fat pad, chondromalacia patella, plica

Tendinopathy


- · Patellar tendon
- · Management
 - · Strengthening progression
 - Isometrics \rightarrow high rep, low weight \rightarrow low rep, high weight

 - Manual therapy
 During early phases
 Tendon: crossfriction massage, instrument assisted mobilization
 - Muscle: soft tissue mobilization, dry needling to restore normal tone and extensibility
 - Assess technique for provocative activity prior to return to sport

 Gait considerations include vertical displacement and overstriding (knee extension at initial contact)

Image: public domain Caltrop https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Graston.tools.jpg
Mellinger, S., & Neurohr, G. A. (2019). Evidence based treatment options for common knee injuries in runners. Annals of translati

53 54





Bone Stress Injury — Tibia • Evaluation: Medial tibial stress syndrome • Vague, diffuse pain along the middle-distal tibia • Area ≥5-10 cm or more • Associated with exertion • Early on, pain is worse at beginning of run and gradually subsides • Pain subsides after run • As it progresses, may have pain at rest

Bone Stress Injury — Tibia Evaluation: Tibial stress fracture Localized pain and tenderness on the tibia Radiograph may or may not show evidence of stress reaction MRI as gold standard for diagnosis Hop test

57 58

Bone Stress Injury — Tibia Management Manage load Unloading with crutches/boot pra Relative rest Consider healing supplements Low intensity pulsed ultrasound Maintain fitness Cross training Address muscle function Strength and flexibility of the lower leg Address the kinetic chain and core

Bone Stress Injury — Tibia

• Management

• Recommence and progress running

• After 5 consecutive days of pain free ADLs

• Graduated loading

• Reduce risk of subsequent injury

• Address biomechanical abnormalities – cadence

• Proprioceptive training

• Footwear/orthoses

60

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.

10

Bone Stress Injury – Tibia Case Example 20 year old female Division I college cross country runner Pain in right shin began 1.5 years ago Evaluated by sports medicine physician through university and second opinion at home Radiographs, MRI: confirmed initial tibial stress reaction stress reaction Bone density scan: normal results Consulted with dietetics Sat out the remainder of the season Completed physical therapy including strength, flexibility, and balance Switched shoes to more cushion Pain improved over the off season

Bone Stress Injury – Tibia

· Case Example, cont'd

- · Resumed training with the team the following season upon completion of a return to running program
- Symptoms came back early in the season · Returned to physical therapy
- Switched to cross training to avoid impact
 Once symptoms resolved, initiated a very slow return to running
- · Tibial pain returned
- · Decision made to red shirt the season
- · Referred to running specialist physical therapist

62

Bone Stress Injury – Tibia

- · Case Example, cont'd
 - Runner's clinic evaluation

 Strength: 5/5 hip ABD and extension
 - Core: 5/5 lower abdominals

mmons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported Murph5253 https://c

61

- Postural alignment: neutral through hips, knees, ankle
 Gait analysis: increased distance COM to IC, decreased knee ext at IC, increased DF at IC
- Program recommendation
 Gait retraining
 - · Increased cadence by 10% with auditory cueing
- Nervaseu catence by 10% with admirely cueing
 Very gradual interval treadmill program
 Desensitization
 Resumed training with team for beginning of season
- · Successfully completed season without pain

Bone Stress Injury – Other Sites

- · Femoral stress reaction
- Diagnosis often delayedSymptom presentation



63 64

Bone Stress Injury – Other Sites

- · Metatarsal stress reaction
- Most common in the 2nd and 3rd digit Symptom presentation



Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome · Etiology

65 66



Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome

- Evaluation
- Associated impairments

(associated but not causative)

Quads
Hip flexors
Quads
Hip flexors
Quads
Hip rotators

TFL

67 68



Plantar Fasciitis • Etiology • Degenerative process of the plantar fascia • Failure of fascia to support the load applied to the limit of fascia to support the load applied to object to the load applied to the load applied

69 70

Plantar Fasciitis

• Evaluation

• Plantar medial heel pain

• Most notable with initial steps after a period of inactivity

• Worse following prolonged weightbearing

• Pain reproduced with palpation of proximal insertion of plantar fascia

• Positive windlass test

• Differential diagnosis

• Peripheral nerve entrapment


• Fat pad atrophy

• Insertional tendinopathy of the lower leg


Plantar Fasciitis Management Therapeutic exercise Plantar fascia stretching Gastroe-soleus stretching Manual therapy Joint mobilization (especially for dorsiflexion) Soft tissue mobilization (sepecially for dorsiflexion) Soft tissue mobilization for gastroe-soleus Taping (anti-pronation techniques) Education on load modification Footwear/orthoses for heel cushioning and arch support Nightsplints (1-3 months) Modaltise Iontophoresis, low-level laser, phonophoresis may be used

71 72











Runner's Dystonia · Case Example 60 y/o female runner with c/c of left foot drop 20 year running hx Self referred to ortho spine after outside PT evaluation Ortho spine felt it was not related to her back and referred to sports medicine Sports medicine evaluation Differential diagnosis Peroneal neuropathy (at fibular head vs above the knee) Lumbar radiculopathy at L5 – lumbosacral plexopathy Motor neuron disease Ordered EMG · Came back normal Referred to PT for gait analysis, dx of "left foot drop"

Runner's Dystonia · Case Example, cont'd · Subjective · C/c: L foot "scuffs" when running · Feels the leg "hiccup and stop Has caused her to trip
 Now has to "think about running" part of the way through her run · No pain Worst at beginning of run and with slower pace · Going on about 3 years · Running ~30 mile/week on pavement • Sx progressing
• Lately feels like she has to be cautious when walking on carpet

77 78

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.







Consider This


Running Specific Rehab Strategies

Gait retraining: cadence
Increasing cadence by 10%
can improve abhorrent gait
mechanics
Pelvic drop, femoral
adduction, knee flex angle
Changes the muscle
activation patterns
Increase in gastrocnemius
and gluteal preactivation/activation
Reduces average vertical
loading rate

ups, pake domen Weaton hips, incurrens willowed acquisité fabrony, Radios, pad, Fred, Firitation proj
ment, C. Prince, B. J. (A. 1. A berrighte), (2015), A 1991, respectives sorrenty bonomices and clinical autonoms in novers with patentitement journit at

81 82





83 84

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.

Running Specific Rehab Strategies · Scheduling recovery · Utilize longer rest periods between training runs $^{\circ}$ Allow for positive remodeling to occur between training sessions

Image: Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International Sham https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:12_weeks_Intermediate_marathon_training_plan_table.jpg

85

Running Specific Rehab Strategies

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Cross training

86

- · Active recovery days
- Non-impact aerobic exercise or strength training
- Biking may offer performance benefits for running

Return to Running Programs

- · When to initiate the return to
- running process
- No pain with activities of daily living
- · If using reduced body weight treadmill running, after progressing to 85-90% BW
- · Be aware of cumulative
- footstrikes/day

 Trial two 30 sec bouts of running and monitor post-activity response for 24 hours

Return to Running Programs

- Interval program vs continuous graduated distance
- Starting volume
- Running accounts for <5-25% of total exercise duration
- $\cdot \ {\bf Progression}$
- Either number of intervals OR duration of intervals
- Work towards greater total volume until continuous running is reached
- Then may re-integrate running workouts (speedwork, etc)

87 88

Return to Running Programs

- The 10% rule
- Limit weekly mileage increase by no more than 10%
- · Not strongly supported by research

Similar injury rates shown with increases ~10% and ~20%


Return to Running Programs

- · Technology
- · GPS system
 - Temporospatial metrics (distance, speed, cadence)
- · Accelerometer or IMU (inertial measurement unit)
- · Kinematics
- Peak positive acceleration (shock)
- $\cdot \, In soles$

· Kinetics (plantar pressure)



89 90



Return to Running Programs · Warm-up/cool down \cdot Static vs dynamic · Ideal program is comprehensive · Aerobic activity, dynamic stretching, sport specific activity · Static stretching >60 sec may impair strength and power Negative effects may be trivial if static stretch is <60 sec

Return to Running Programs

- · Warm-up/cool down
- · Static vs dynamic
 - Actic vs dynamic

 Recreational athletes:
 include short duration
 static stretching for
 flexibility and
 musculotendinous injury
 prevention
 - · High level athletes: may prioritize the small performance impact and avoid static

images: Microsoft stock photo Chaabene, H., Behm, D. G., Negra, Y., & Granacher, U. (2019). Acute effects of static stretching on muscle strength and power: an attempt to clarify previous caveats. Frontiers in physiology 10, 1468.

Return to Running Programs

- · Warm-up/cool down
- Active cool down
 Accelerates recovery of lactic acid in blood
 Partially prevents immune system depression
 Promotes faster recovery of cardiovascular
 and respiratory system


- However...
 Lactic acid in blood ≠ lactic acid in muscle tissue
- tissue
 Unknown whether there is any difference in
 post-exercise illness, syncope or
 cardiovascular complications
 Not shown to improve muscle soreness or
 damage, range of motion, hormone
 concentrations, or psychological recovery

94

92

93

Psychological Considerations · When a runner can't run Signs of distress present at higher rates · Depression Anxiety \cdot Confusion · Over-all mood disturbance · Lower self esteem · Many rely on running as a coping strategy · Loss of socialization



95 96

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.






Nutritional Considerations

- · Nutritional needs
- · Overall energy
- · Macronutrients (carbohydrates, proteins, etc)
- \cdot Iron
- · Vitamin C
- · Dietary antioxidants
- · Nutrients that modulate immune function

99 100





Running Shoes

· Anatomy of a running shoe

101 102

Running Shoes · How to select the right one According to foot morphology?? "Shoe shop theory" High arch, rigid feet, reduced pronation → cushion shoe Neutral anatomy → stability Excessive pronation, LE malalignment → motion control shoe No evidence that matching morphology to shoe design reduces injury risk

Running Shoes

- · How to select the right one
- · More cushion, less injury??
- · The research says maybe
- · Unclear for which population (lighter runners?)
- · How much is optimal?

104

103

Running Shoes

- · How to select the right one
 - · How about heelto-toe drop??
 - · Low drop may be protective for occasional runners, but not for regular runners

Running Shoes

- · How to select the right one
- * More injuries in older shoes??
 - No definitive research on shoe age for preventing injuries
 - · Some evidence that women are at higher risk when shoes 4-6 months old

 Some evidence that men are at lower risk when shoes 4-6
- months old

Running Shoes

- · How to select the right one
 - Expensive shoes are better, right??
 - · Cushion performance has not been related to shoe cost
 - · Low- and medium-cost shoes may provide equally good subjective comfort as more expensive models

No association between shoe brand or cost and injury risk has been established

Running Shoes

- · How to select the right one
- · Should runners even wear shoes??
 - · Barefoot/minimalist shoes
 - May improve running economy
 Can build the cross-sectional area and stiffness of Achilles tendon
 - Greater loading of the ankle and metatarsophalangeal joint
 Evidence does not currently support recommending them

Image: Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 2.0 Generic Chris Hurkeler https://commons.wikimedia.org/wikiFile-Running_Styles_(8049103309).jpg Sun, X., Lam, W.K., Zhang, X., Wang, J., & Fu, W. (2020). Systematic review of the role of footwear constructions in running biomechanics: implications for related plays and performance. Journal of sports science & medicine, 19(1), 2


108

Copyright - Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele, PT, DPT, OCS. All rights reserved. Reproduction, reuse, or republication of all or any part of this presentation is strictly prohibited without prior written consent of both Innovative Educational Services and Sara Ziegele.

106

107

105





Running Shoes

How to select the right one
Then what??

Runner's perceived feeling of comfort is best supported for injury prevention
Transition progressively into a new pair
Listen to your body when training
Research may support alternating
Research may support alternating between pairs of running shoes

Outcome Tools for the Runner

University of Wisconsin Running Injury and Recovery Index (UWRI)

How does your running injury impact your ability to perform daily activities?
How frustrated are you by your running injury?
How much recovery have you made from your running injury?
How much pain do you experience while running?
How much pain do you experience during the 24 hours following a run?
How has your weakly mileage or weekly running time changed as a result of your injury?
How has your running pace or speed changed as a result of your injury?
How has your running pace or speed changed as a result of your injury?
How has your running pace or speed changed as a result of your injury?
How has your running fact your confidence to increase the duration or intensity of your running.
Heldon, C.O., Rebermes, S.A. Heldendeld, B. (2020) Constablished and Responsiveness of the University of Wisconsin Running lipsy and Recovery latest. Journal of Progress of Sept. S

111 112

Conclusion

 Running is a widely utilized activity, both in the United States and globally. As runners often encounter frequent and recurring injury, it is critical that healthcare providers consider the unique biopsychosocial needs of this population across the continuum of care.



Q1

110

Which phase of the running gait cycle begins with heel off as rearfoot starts to invert?

- A.Loading
- B.Midstance
- C.Propulsion

D.Terminal swing

113 114



When assessing a runner's threshold for overuse, which of the following determinants of training load should be used?

- A External load
- B.Physiological internal load
- C.Internal tissue load
- D.All the above

take poskulju sjem gradop ancja 115

Q3

What is the most commonly employed strength and conditioning activity amongst runners?

- A.Strength training
- B.Plyometric training
- C.Stretching
- D.Altitude training

115

116

Q4

Which of the following is a risk factor for lower extremity running injury based on current evidence?

- A.Training without a running coach
- B.Previous running injury
- C.Heel strike pattern at initial contact
- D.BMI >25

Q_5

Which of the following gait characteristics is best evaluated from the posterior view during video gait analysis?

- A.Pelvic drop
- B.Foot strike pattern
- C.Knee flexion angle
- D.All the above

117

118

Q6

Which of the following is a gait mechanics retraining strategy that has been shown in the running literature to improve aberrant movement patterns?

- A.Increasing cadence
- B.Decreasing cadence C.Running backwards
- D.Overstriding

Copyridat Stars Za polo 2023

Q7

What does IMU stand for related to gait analysis?

- A.Immediate medial utilization
- B.Insufficient myofascial unit
- C.Inertial measurement unit
- D.Interim muscular upswing

119 120

Q8

Which of the following signs of psychological distress is demonstrated at increased rates in runners who are prevented from running?

- A.Depression
- B.Anxiety
- C.Confusion
- D.All the above

121

122

Q10

Which of the following patient reported outcomes tools was developed specifically for runners?

- A.UWRI
- B.FAAM
- C.LEFS D.DASH

Copyright Sun Sungal 2023

123

RUNNING INJURIES

References

- Agresta, C., Giacomazzi, C., Harrast, M., & Zendler, J. (2022). Running injury paradigms and their influence on footwear design features and runner assessment methods: A focused review to advance evidence-based practice for running medicine clinicians. *Frontiers in sports and active living*, *4*, 81567
- Al Attar, W. S. A., Khaledi, E. H., Bakhsh, J. M., Faude, O., Ghulam, H., & Sanders, R. H. (2022). Injury prevention programs that include balance training exercises reduce ankle injury rates among soccer players: a systematic review. *Journal of physiotherapy*, 68(3), 165-173.
- Alexander, J. L., Culvenor, A. G., Johnston, R. R., Ezzat, A. M., & Barton, C. J. (2022). Strategies to prevent and manage running-related knee injuries: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *56*(22), 1307-1319.
- Apte, S., Prigent, G., Stöggl, T., Martínez, A., Snyder, C., Gremeaux-Bader, V., & Aminian, K. (2021). Biomechanical response of the lower extremity to running-induced acute fatigue: a systematic review. *Frontiers in physiology*, *12*, 646042.
- Blyton, S. J., Snodgrass, S. J., Pizzari, T., Birse, S. M., Likens, A. D., & Edwards, S. (2023). The impact of previous musculoskeletal injury on running gait variability: A systematic review. *Gait & posture*, *101*, 124-133.
- Dempster, J., Dutheil, F., & Ugbolue, U. C. (2021). The prevalence of lower extremity injuries in running and associated risk factors: a systematic review. *Physical Activity and Health*, *5*(1).
- Doyle, E., Doyle, T. L., Bonacci, J., & Fuller, J. T. (2022). The effectiveness of gait retraining on running kinematics, kinetics, performance, pain, and injury in distance runners: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, *52*(4), 192-A5.
- Fredette, A., Roy, J. S., Perreault, K., Dupuis, F., Napier, C., & Esculier, J. F. (2022). The association between running injuries and training parameters: a systematic review. *Journal of Athletic Training*, *57*(7), 650-671.
- Hamstra-Wright, K. L., Huxel Bliven, K. C., Bay, R. C., & Aydemir, B. (2021). Risk factors for plantar fasciitis in physically active individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports health*, *13*(3), 296-303.
- Hollander, K., Rahlf, A. L., Wilke, J., Edler, C., Steib, S., Junge, A., & Zech, A. (2021). Sex-specific differences in running injuries: a systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Sports Medicine*, *51*, 1011-1039
- Kakouris, N., Yener, N., & Fong, D. T. (2021). A systematic review of running-related musculoskeletal injuries in runners. *Journal of sport and health science*, *10*(5), 513-522.
- Khan, M., O'Donovan, J., Charlton, J. M., Roy, J. S., Hunt, M. A., & Esculier, J. F. (2022). The influence of running on lower limb cartilage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *52*(1), 55-74.
- Lin, S., Song, Y., Cen, X., Bálint, K., Fekete, G., & Sun, D. (2022). The implications of sports biomechanics studies on the research and development of running shoes: A systematic review. *Bioengineering*, 9(10), 497.
- Lopes, A. D., Mascarinas, A., & Hespanhol, L. (2023). Are alterations in running biomechanics associated with running injuries? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 27(4), 100538.
- Mason, Rachel, et al. "Wearables for running gait analysis: A systematic review." *Sports Medicine* 53.1 (2023): 241-268.
- Menz, H. B., & Bonanno, D. R. (2021). Footwear comfort: a systematic search and narrative synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 14, 1-11.
- Orr, R., Maupin, D., Palmer, R., Canetti, E. F., Simas, V., & Schram, B. (2022). The impact of footwear on occupational task performance and musculoskeletal injury risk: a scoping review to inform tactical footwear. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(17), 10703.

- Pereira, H. V., Palmeira, A. L., Encantado, J., Marques, M. M., Santos, I., Carraça, E. V., & Teixeira, P. J. (2021). Systematic review of psychological and behavioral correlates of recreational running. *Frontiers in psychology*, *12*, 624783.
- Peterson, B., Hawke, F., Spink, M., Sadler, S., Hawes, M., Callister, R., & Chuter, V. (2022). Biomechanical and musculoskeletal measurements as risk factors for running-related injury in non-elite runners: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Sports medicine-open*, 8(1), 38.
- Rhim, H. C., Kwon, J., Park, J., Borg-Stein, J., & Tenforde, A. S. (2021). A systematic review of systematic reviews on the epidemiology, evaluation, and treatment of plantar fasciitis. *Life*, *11*(12), 128
- Rodrigo-Carranza, V., González-Mohíno, F., Santos-Concejero, J., & González-Ravé, J. M. (2022). The effects of footwear midsole longitudinal bending stiffness on running economy and ground contact biomechanics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 22(10), 1508-1521.
- Ruiz-Alias, Santiago A., et al. "A systematic review of the effect of running shoes on running economy, performance and biomechanics: analysis by brand and model." *Sports Biomechanics* 22.3 (2023): 388-409.
- Scheer, V., & Krabak, B. J. (2021). Musculoskeletal injuries in ultra-endurance running: a scoping review. *Frontiers in physiology*, *12*, 664071.
- Scheer, V., Tiller, N. B., Doutreleau, S., Khodaee, M., Knechtle, B., Pasternak, A., & Rojas-Valverde, D. (2022). Potential long-term health problems associated with ultra-endurance running: a narrative review. *Sports Medicine*, *52*(4), 725-740.
- Van Alsenoy, K., Van der Linden, M. L., Girard, O., & Santos, D. (2023). Increased footwear comfort is associated with improved running economy–a systematic review and meta-analysis. *European journal of sport science*, 23(1), 121-133.
- Van Hooren, B., Jukic, I., Cox, M., Frenken, K. G., Bautista, I., & Moore, I. S. (2024). The relationship between running biomechanics and running economy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Sports Medicine*, *54*(5), 1269-1316.
- Van Poppel, D., van der Worp, M., Slabbekoorn, A., van den Heuvel, S. S., van Middelkoop, M., Koes, B. W., ... & Scholten-Peeters, G. G. (2021). Risk factors for overuse injuries in short-and long-distance running: A systematic review. *Journal of sport and health science*, *10*(1), 14-28.
- Viljoen, C. T., Janse van Rensburg, D. C., Verhagen, E., Van Mechelen, W., Tomás, R., Schoeman, M., ... & Korkie, E. (2021). Epidemiology of injury and illness among trail runners: a systematic review. *Sports medicine*, *51*, 917-94
- Wang, Y., Zhou, H., Nie, Z., & Cui, S. (2022). Prevalence of Achilles tendinopathy in physical exercise: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine and Health Science*, *4*(3), 152-159.
- Willwacher, S., Kurz, M., Robbin, J., Thelen, M., Hamill, J., Kelly, L., & Mai, P. (2022). Running-related biomechanical risk factors for overuse injuries in distance runners: a systematic review considering injury specificity and the potentials for future research. *Sports Medicine*, *52*(8), 1863-1877.
- Xiang, L., Wang, A., Gu, Y., Zhao, L., Shim, V., & Fernandez, J. (2022). Recent machine learning progress in lower limb running biomechanics with wearable technology: A systematic review. *Frontiers in neurorobotics*, *16*, 913052.
- Xu, Y., Yuan, P., Wang, R., Wang, D., Liu, J., & Zhou, H. (2021). Effects of foot strike techniques on running biomechanics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Health*, *13*(1), 71-77
- Zeng, Z., Liu, Y., Hu, X., Tang, M., & Wang, L. (2022). Validity and reliability of inertial measurement units on lower extremity kinematics during running: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine-Open*, *8*(1), 86.